花鲈源杀鱼爱德华菌耐药谱及毒力相关性分析

毛灿^{1,2},苏友禄³,李勇⁴,张亚秋^{1,2},古群红⁴,邓益琴²,王宝屯^{1,2},陈皓祥^{1,2}, 冯娟²

- 1. 上海海洋大学水产与生命学院, 上海 201306;
- 中国水产科学研究院南海水产研究所,热带水产研究开发中心,农业农村部南海渔业资源开发利用重点实验室, 广东 广州 510300;
- 3. 仲恺农业工程学院,健康养殖创新研究院,广东 广州 510225;
- 4. 珠海市现代农业发展中心, 广东 珠海 519000

摘要:从广东省珠海市养殖花鲈(Lateolabrax maculatus)体内分离得到 96 株爱德华菌属(Edwardsiella spp.),经 gyrB 基因鉴定出 87 株杀鱼爱德华菌(E. piscicida)。耐药谱分析显示,杀鱼爱德华菌对利福平(98.85%)、麦迪霉素 (96.55%)、红霉素(95.40%)、青霉素(68.96%)、磺胺异恶唑(58.62%)、复方新诺明(28.73%)、阿莫西林(21.83%)、庆 大霉素(13.79%)、新霉素(10.34%)、呋喃唑酮(3.45%)、诺氟沙星(2.29%)、氯霉素(2.29%)、多西环素(2.29%)、土霉素(1.15%)、氟苯尼考(1.14%)、恩诺沙星(0%)耐药。杀鱼爱德华菌共有 32 种耐药谱型且均为多重耐药菌株,多重 耐药指数为 0.423。斑马鱼致死率结果发现,杀鱼爱德华菌是一株中高毒力菌株;进一步的相关性分析揭示,杀鱼 爱德华菌毒力与庆大霉素抗性呈正相关(P<0.05),与恩诺沙星、氟苯尼考(P<0.05)和土霉素抗性(P<0.01)呈负相关。 综上所述,花鲈源杀鱼爱德华菌为高毒、多重耐药的菌种,其毒力与耐药性多呈现负相关,推测是由于细菌因获得 外源 DNA 而产生额外的生物成本所致。

关键词:花鲈;杀鱼爱德华菌;耐药性;毒力 中图分类号:S94 文献标志码:A

文章编号:1005-8737-(2020)07-0846-12

花鲈(Lateolabrax maculatus),又称海鲈,是 中国第二大海水养殖鱼类,在广东珠海养殖面积 超过 16 km²,产量分别约占广东省的 80%、全国 的 50%^[1],是广东省特色水产养殖鱼类之一。随着 养殖集约化程度的增加,病害问题限制了花鲈产 业的可持续发展。其中,杀鱼爱德华菌(Edwardsiella piscicidas)是花鲈养殖的主要病原之一,此 菌在世界各地养殖区域爆发流行^[2-3],广泛存在 于环境和宿主中,可感染多种经济鱼类^[4-6]。

杀鱼爱德华菌与迟缓爱德华菌(E. tarda)有许 多相同的表型特征^[7],目前仍存在一些菌株被误 归为迟缓爱德华菌的现象。Griffin 等^[8-9]通过 gyrB 来对爱德华菌进行菌株分类,将迟缓爱德华菌和 杀鱼爱德华菌进行区分。自 1995 年 Yamamoto 等^[10] 首次用 gyrB 基因鉴别恶臭假单胞菌(Pseudomonas putida)近缘种后,越来越多的学者用该基因进行 菌种鉴定。gyrB 基因作为在分类学中被应用最广 泛的管家基因,其遗传密码子具有优良的兼并性, 在 DNA 序列发生替换时可保证氨基酸序列稳定, 其表达水平明显高于 16S rDNA 基因,可更正确 地区分近缘种。此外, gyrB 基因不易在水平间转 移,可作为系统发育分析中的靶基因^[11]。

收稿日期: 2019-11-12; 修订日期: 2020-03-02.

基金项目:国家自然科学基金项目(31902415);广东省自然科学基金项目(2018A030310695);海南省自然科学基金青年基金项目(319QN336);中国水产科学研究院南海水产研究所中央级公益性科研院所基本科研业务费专项 (2018ZD01).

作者简介: 毛灿(1995-), 男, 硕士研究生, 研究方向为鱼类细菌病害. E-mail: maocan728@163.com.

通信作者: 冯娟, 研究员, E-mail: juanfeng@scsfri.ac.cn; 苏友禄, 副研究员, E-mail: youlusu@zhku.edu.cn

细菌耐药性的出现和迅速传播使其成为公共 卫生安全的焦点^[12],病原菌的耐药机制已经成为 临床微生物学中重要且广泛的研究内容[13]。许多 研究发现,病原菌抗生素耐药性机制的主要决定 因素是从其他生物水平基因转移获得的,同时在 对病原菌另一大致病因素——毒力研究中同样发 现水平基因转移的共同基本特征。毒力和抗生素 抗性都可被认为是细菌探索和开拓生存新环境的 方法,这些方法使细菌的基因组表现出高度可塑 性,所以抗生素抗性和毒力在机制研究中同时被 称为"量子跃迁的进化"^[14]。有研究表明抗生素抗 性基因和毒力基因可以处于同个可移动元件(如 质粒、转座子、噬菌体、整合子和基因簇)中[15-16], 如迟缓爱德华菌中发现pCK41质粒同时存在耐药 基因和毒力基因^[17]; 大肠杆菌中发现 pCERC3 质 粒同时具有毒力和耐药基因^[18];多重耐药(MDR) 外 排泵能外排抗生素和群体感应信号等物质^[19]。 进一步研究表明, 毒力和抗生素之间存在相关性 联系^[20], Ghorbel 等^[21]研究发现毒力模式与抗菌 药物图谱存在显著相关性; Azzam 等^[22]研究表明 MAR 与污水生态系统细菌毒力具有强相关性; Vila 等^[23]发现细菌获得抗生素耐药时可导致毒力 下降,对其耐药机制研究表明大部分菌株通过改 变自身表达或蛋白结构来增加耐药性^[24]。目前对 于其相关性的研究大多集中在人类医学和畜牧行 业中,在水产养殖领域研究较少,所以通过对杀 鱼爱德华菌毒力与耐药的相关性研究,可以为该 菌的抗生素使用提供指导。

本研究团队基于 gyrB 基因序列信息,对分离自 2018年4—12月广东省珠海市池塘养殖花鲈的杀 鱼爱德华菌菌株耐药谱和毒力特征评价,为阐明 花鲈源杀鱼爱德华菌的流行趋势和耐药规律奠定 基础,并对耐药性和毒力相关性研究提供数据支持。

1 材料与方法

1.1 菌株分离及鉴定

采样时间为 2018 年 4—12 月, 样品采自广东 省珠海市养殖患病的花鲈内脏器官, 具体细菌分 离方法参照鱼病调查手册^[25], 分离菌株进行革兰 氏染色, 通过 16S rDNA 通用引物和 gyrB 基因引 物进行分子鉴定(表 1), 对鉴定为杀鱼爱德华菌的 菌株进行后续实验。

表 1 基因引物序列 Tab 1 Gene primer sequence

| | | F | | |
|------------------|-----------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 基因 gene | 引物 primer | 序列(5'-3') sequence | 目的片段/bp target fragment | 退火温度/℃ annealing temperature |
| 165 " DNA | 8F | AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG | 1500 | 55 |
| 105 IDNA | 1492R | GGTTACCTTGTTACGACTT | 1500 | 55 |
| aug P | F | GAAGTCATCATGACCGTTCTGCA | 1100 | 56 |
| дугь | R | AGCAGGGTACGGATGTGCGAGCC | 1100 | 50 |

1.2 杀鱼爱德华菌药敏试验及谱型分析

将鉴定获得的 87 株杀鱼爱德华菌制备菌悬 液,菌液浓度为 1×10^8 CFU/mL,采用纸片扩散法 (K-B 法)进行药敏试验,记录药敏纸片抑菌圈的 直径。药敏纸片为 16 种常见的抗生素(均购于杭 州微生物试剂有限公司): 阿莫西林(amoxicillin, AMO, 20 μ g)、麦迪霉素(midecamycin, MD, 30 μ g)、 利福平(rifampin, RFP, 5 μ g)、青霉素(penicillin, P, 10 μ g)、复方新诺明(norepinephrine, SXT, 1.25 μ g)、 诺氟沙星(norfloxacin, NOR, 10 μ g)、红霉素(erythromycin, E, 15 µg)、氟苯尼考(florfenicol, FFC, 30 µg)、氯霉素(chloramphenicol, C, 30 µg)、呋喃 唑酮(furazolidone, FUR, 100 µg)、新霉素(neomycin, N, 10 µg)、庆大霉素(gentamicin, GM, 10 µg)、 磺胺异恶唑(sulfamethoxazole, FS, 300 µg)、土霉 素(oxytetracycline, OT, 30 µg)、多西环素(doxycycline, DO, 30 µg)、恩诺沙星(enrofloxacin, ENR, 30 µg)。参照美国临床和实验室标准协会抗生素敏 感试验标准分析菌株耐药谱(表 2)^[26]。将杀鱼爱德 华菌对每一种抗生素的耐药情况以S、I、R 记录,

第 27 卷

| | 1 4.0. | 2 Cinter | | ibiotics sensitivity test | | | |
|----------------------------|--------|-----------|----------|---------------------------|------|-------------|---------|
| 药名 | 判定标准 | 隹 judging | standard | 药名 | 判定标识 | 准 judging s | tandard |
| medicine | R | Ι | S | medicine | R | Ι | S |
| 磺胺异恶唑 sulfamethoxazole, FS | ≤10 | 11-15 | ≥16 | 红霉素 erythromycin, E | ≤13 | 14-22 | ≥23 |
| 利福平 rifampin, RFP | ≤16 | 17-19 | ≥20 | 青霉素 penicillin, P | ≤12 | 13-16 | ≥17 |
| 麦迪霉素 midecamycin, MD | ≤13 | 14-17 | ≥18 | 多西环素 doxycycline, DO | ≤12 | 13-15 | ≥16 |
| 呋喃唑酮 furazolidone, FUR | ≤9 | 10-14 | ≥15 | 氟苯尼考 florfenicol, FFC | ≤12 | 13-17 | ≥18 |
| 阿莫西林 amoxicillin, AMO | ≤13 | 12-18 | ≥19 | 新霉素 neomycin, N | ≤12 | 13-16 | ≥17 |
| 庆大霉素 gentamicin, GM | ≤12 | 13-14 | ≥15 | 氯霉素 chloramphenicol, C | ≤12 | 13-17 | ≥18 |
| 恩诺沙星 enrofloxacin, ENR | ≤16 | 17-22 | ≥23 | 诺氟沙星 norfloxacin, NOR | ≤12 | 13-16 | ≥17 |
| 复方新诺明 norepinephrine, SXT | ≤10 | 11-15 | ≥16 | 土霉素 oxytetracycline, OT | ≤14 | 15-18 | ≥19 |

表 2 药物敏感实验判定标准 Tab. 2 Criteria for antibiotics sensitivity test

则每株受试菌株对所有抗生素形成唯一的耐药谱, 对所有耐药谱进行归类并命名。计算耐药率及多 重抗生素耐药指数(MARI), MARI=a/bc 其中 a 代 表某来源细菌的总抗菌药物耐药值, b 代表检测 的抗菌药物种类数目, c 代表某来源细菌菌株^[27], 分析比较耐药谱型所包括的抗生素及菌株量差异, 用 Origin 软件进行作图分析。

1.3 杀鱼爱德华菌对斑马鱼的毒力试验

选择体长4 cm 左右且健康的 AB 品系斑马鱼, (25±1) ℃恒温暂养一周。将培养好的 87 株杀鱼爱 德华菌用生理盐水稀释至浓度约为 3×10⁵ CFU/mL, 腹腔注射剂量 20 µl/尾,每株菌注射 30 尾鱼,分 3 个平行组,记录注射后 2 周内的死亡率。

1.4 数据处理

将杀鱼爱德华菌对 16 种抗生素的耐药情况 进行赋值(*R*=4, *I*=2, *S*=0),对每种抗生素耐药情况 (*R*=4, *I*=2, *S*=0)与斑马鱼死亡率结果结合,利用 IBM SPSS 22 进行 Spearman 相关性分析,得到其 相关性结果。

2 结果与分析

2.1 细菌分离鉴定

对优势菌进行革兰氏染色,发现有 96 株菌株 在显微镜下呈现红色短杆状。通过 16S rDNA 通 用引物和 gyrB 基因引物进行扩增,测序后分析基 因序列的同源性,发现杀鱼爱德华菌占 87 株,迟 缓爱德华菌仅占 9 株。大部分采样鱼呈现鱼眼部 肿大、眼球缺失、头骨处皮肤溃烂、腹水以及内 脏器官肿大等症状。

2.2 杀鱼爱德华菌耐药谱

87 株杀鱼爱德华菌对 16 种抗生素的耐药存 在差异(图 1),其中对麦迪霉素、红霉素和利福平 的耐药率超过了 90%及以上, 对青霉素、磺胺异 恶唑耐药率在 80%~50%, 对庆大霉素、阿莫西林 和复方新诺明耐药率均低于50%~10%,对多西环 素、氟苯尼考、恩诺沙星、氯霉素、诺氟沙星和 土霉素的耐药率低于 10%。杀鱼爱德华菌的耐药 谱型共 32 种, 分为 E1~E32 (表 3), 谱型丰富度为 36.78%。E1~E3 型谱型对 3 种抗生素耐药, 包含 14 菌株, 占总菌数的 16.09%; E4~E10 谱型对 4 种 抗生素耐药, 包含 20 菌株, 占总菌数的 22.98%; E11~E17 谱型对 5 种抗生素耐药, 包含 20 菌株, 占总菌数的 22.98%; E18~E23 谱型对 6 种抗生素 耐药, 包含 17 菌株, 占总菌数的 19.10%; E24~ E29 谱型对 7 种抗生素耐药, 包含 12 菌株, 占总 菌数的 13.80%; E30、E31 谱型对 8 种抗生素耐药,



图 1 87 株杀鱼爱德华菌菌株对 16 种抗生素的耐药性 Fig. 1 Antibiotic resistance of 87 Edwardsiella piscicida strains to 16 antibiotics

表 3 87 株杀鱼爱德华菌的耐药谱型

(待续 to be continued)

| | | | | • ' | Tab. 3 Ar | ntibiotic re | esistance s | pectrum | of 87 straii | ns of <i>Edu</i> | vardsiella p | viscicida | | | | | |
|------------|------------|----------|------------|----------|--------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|----------|-------------|-----------|----------------|
| [] 관 | | | | | | | 耐药谱。 | antibiotic 1 | resistance st | sectrum | | | | | | | 1年後7 |
| 淄小 code | 利福平 RFP | 红霉素 日 | 麦迪霉素 MD | 青霉素 P | 磺胺异恶 唑 FS | 复方新诺 明 SXT | 呋喃唑酮 FUR | 新霉素 N | 阿莫西林」 AMO | 庆大霉素 GM | 多西环素 DO | 氟苯尼考 FFC | 恩诺沙星 ENR | 氨霉素 C | 诺氟沙星 NOR | 土霉素 OT | 肩垒 spectrum |
| 18QW10 | R | R | R | S | S | S | S | Ι | S | I | S | S | S | S | S | S | E1 |
| 18QW45 | R | R | R | S | S | Ι | S | Ι | S | S | S | S | Ι | S | S | Ι | E1 |
| 18QW46 | R | R | R | S | S | Ι | Ι | Ι | S | S | S | S | Ι | S | S | S | E1 |
| 18QW49 | R | R | S | Ι | R | S | S | Ι | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | E2 |
| 18QW62 | R | R | R | S | S | S | S | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | E1 |
| 18QW70 | R | R | R | Ι | S | S | S | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | E1 |
| 18BJ134 | R | R | R | S | Ι | S | S | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | E1 |
| 18BJ141 | R | R | R | Ι | S | S | S | Ι | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | E1 |
| 18QW83 | R | R | R | Ι | S | S | S | Ι | Ι | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | E1 |
| 18QW84 | R | R | R | Ι | S | S | S | S | Ι | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | E1 |
| 18BJ225 | R | R | S | R | S | S | Ι | Ι | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | E3 |
| 18BJ315 | R | R | R | S | S | S | S | Ι | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | E1 |
| 18QW226 | R | Ι | R | R | S | S | S | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | E4 |
| 18QW231 | R | R | R | Ι | S | S | S | Ι | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | E1 |
| 18QW248 | R | R | R | S | S | S | S | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | E1 |
| 18QW60 | R | R | R | Ι | R | S | S | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | E6 |
| 18QW61 | R | R | R | S | R | S | S | Ι | Ι | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | E6 |
| 18BJ135 | R | R | R | R | S | S | S | Ι | Ι | S | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | E5 |
| 18BJ136 | R | R | R | R | S | S | S | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | E5 |
| 18BJ139 | R | R | R | R | S | S | S | Ι | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | E5 |
| 18BJ140 | R | R | R | R | S | S | S | Ι | Ι | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | E5 |
| 18BJ151 | R | R | R | R | S | S | S | Ι | Ι | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | E5 |
| 18QW76 | R | R | R | R | S | S | S | Ι | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | E5 |
| 18QW77 | R | R | R | Ι | Ι | S | Ι | Ι | Ι | R | S | S | S | Ι | S | S | E7 |
| 18BJ206 | R | R | R | Ι | S | S | S | Ι | R | Ι | S | S | S | S | Ι | S | E8 |
| 18QW109 | R | R | R | Ι | R | S | S | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | Ι | S | E6 |
| 18QW126 | S | S | S | S | R | R | R | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | R | S | E9 |
| 18BJ269 | R | R | R | R | S | S | S | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | E5 |

| No. No. <th>1 1 1</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>耐药谱</th> <th>antibiotic</th> <th>resistance s</th> <th>pectrum</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>百代</th> | 1 1 1 | | | | | | | 耐药谱 | antibiotic | resistance s | pectrum | | | | | | | 百代 |
|--|-------------|------------|----------|------------|----------|--------------|---------------|-------------|------------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|----------|-------------|-----------|------------------|
| MITO R R S S I S S I S | 置力 code | 利福平 RFP | 红霉素 日 | 麦迪霉素 MD | 青霉素 P | 磺胺异恶 唑 FS | 复方新诺 明 SXT | 呋喃唑酮 FUR | 新霉素 N | 阿莫西林 AMO | 庆大霉素 GM | 多西环素 D0 | 氟苯尼考 FFC | 恩诺沙星 ENR | 氯霉素 C | 诺氟沙星 NOR | 土霉素 OT | - 項坐 spectrum |
| W106 R R I R I R I R I R I R I R I R I R I R I S I S I S I S S I S | 3J270 | R | R | R | S | S | S | I | S | S | I | R | S | S | S | S | S | E10 |
| WN99 R R I R I R I R I R I R I R I R I R R I S S S I S | 2W168 | R | R | R | Ι | R | S | Ι | Ι | S | Ι | S | S | Ι | S | S | S | E6 |
| WW2 R R I S S I I S | QW189 | R | R | R | Ι | R | S | S | Ι | Ι | S | S | S | S | Ι | S | S | E6 |
| WY200 R R R S S S I S I S <td>W92</td> <td>R</td> <td>R</td> <td>R</td> <td>Ι</td> <td>S</td> <td>S</td> <td>S</td> <td>Ι</td> <td>Ι</td> <td>R</td> <td>S</td> <td>S</td> <td>S</td> <td>S</td> <td>S</td> <td>S</td> <td>Ε7</td> | W92 | R | R | R | Ι | S | S | S | Ι | Ι | R | S | S | S | S | S | S | Ε7 |
| WV10 R | W209 | R | R | R | R | S | S | S | Ι | S | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | E5 |
| WY00 R R S I S I S I S | QW210 | R | R | R | R | S | S | S | Ι | Ι | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | E5 |
| WV33 R R I R R I R R I R R I R S | QW50 | R | R | R | S | R | R | S | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | E13 |
| WV56 R R R I R S S I R S | QW53 | R | R | R | Ι | R | R | S | Ι | Ι | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | E13 |
| U134 R R R R R R R S S I I S | QW56 | R | R | R | Ι | R | S | S | Ι | R | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | E14 |
| 1135 R R R R R R R S S I I R S | J154 | R | R | R | R | S | S | S | Ι | Ι | S | R | S | S | S | S | S | E15 |
| 1137 R R R R R S I I I S S S S S S WWS R R R R S S S I I S S S S S WW19 R R R R S S S S S S S S U1919 R R R R S S S S S S S S U249 R R R R S S S S S S S S WU10 R R R S S S S S S S S WU16 R R R S S S S S S S S S WU16 R R R R R S S S S S S S WU16 R R R S S S S S S S S WU16 R R R S <td>J155</td> <td>R</td> <td>R</td> <td>R</td> <td>R</td> <td>S</td> <td>S</td> <td>Ι</td> <td>Ι</td> <td>R</td> <td>Ι</td> <td>Ι</td> <td>S</td> <td>S</td> <td>S</td> <td>S</td> <td>S</td> <td>E12</td> | J155 | R | R | R | R | S | S | Ι | Ι | R | Ι | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | E12 |
| WWS R R R S S I R I S | 1137 | R | R | R | R | R | S | Ι | Ι | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | E11 |
| WII9RRRRRSSSSSSSSSS1249RRRRRRSSSSSSSSSS1249RRRRRRSSSSSSSSSSS1249RRRRRSSSSSSSSSSSWI61RRRRSSSSSSSSSSSSWI61RRRRSSSSSSSSSSSSWI61RRRRSSSSSSSSSSSSWI61RRRRSSSSSSSSSSSSSWI61RRRRSS | W85 | R | R | R | R | S | S | S | Ι | R | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | E12 |
| 1249 R R R R R R R R I | W119 | R | R | R | R | R | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | E11 |
| WI61RRRRSSSIIRSS< | J249 | R | R | R | R | R | S | S | Ι | Ι | Ι | S | S | S | Ι | Ι | S | E11 |
| WI62 R | W161 | R | R | R | R | S | S | S | Ι | Ι | R | S | S | S | S | S | S | E16 |
| W163 R | W162 | R | R | R | R | R | S | S | Ι | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | E11 |
| W164 R | W163 | R | R | R | R | R | S | S | Ι | Ι | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | E11 |
| WU65 R | W164 | R | R | R | R | R | S | S | Ι | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | E11 |
| W167 R | W165 | R | R | R | R | R | S | S | Ι | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | E11 |
| W169 R | W167 | R | R | R | R | R | S | S | S | S | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | E11 |
| W228 R I R R S S R S | W169 | R | R | R | R | R | S | S | Ι | Ι | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | E11 |
| 1345 R R R S S S I R S | W228 | R | Ι | R | R | R | S | S | R | S | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | E17 |
| W236 R R R R S S I I I S | J345 | R | R | R | R | S | S | S | Ι | R | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | E12 |
| J316 R R R R R S S I I S S S S S E W52 R R R R R R R R S S S S S E W55 R R R R R S S I I I S S S S S E J138 R R R S S S R I R S S S S E | W236 | R | R | R | R | R | S | S | Ι | Ι | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | E11 |
| W52 R R R R R S I I I S< | J316 | R | R | R | R | R | S | S | Ι | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | E11 |
| W55 R R R S R R S I I R R S S S S E. J138 R R R R S S S S R I R S S S S S S E. | W52 | R | R | R | R | R | R | S | Ι | Ι | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | E18 |
| 1138 R R R R R S S S R I R S S S S E | W55 | R | R | R | S | R | R | S | Ι | Ι | R | S | S | S | S | S | S | E18 |
| | 1138 | R | R | R | R | S | S | S | R | Ι | R | S | S | S | S | S | S | E22 |

| | | | | | HA C = Col | איזאנונווום | e Antimierent | peeu uu | | | | | | | 日本 |
|----------|------------|----------|--------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|----------|-------------|-----------|-------------------------|
| 红霉素 E | 麦迪霉素 MD | 青霉素 P | 磺胺异恶 唑 FS | 复方新诺 明 SXT | 呋喃唑酮 FUR | 新霉素 N | 阿莫西林 AMO | 庆大霉素 GM | 多西环素 DO | 氟苯尼考 FFC | 恩诺沙星 ENR | 氯霉素 C | 诺氟沙星 NOR | 土霉素 OT | - <i>南坐</i> spectrum |
| R | R | R | R | S | I | I | R | S | S | S | S | S | | S | E20 |
| R | R | R | R | S | Ι | Ι | Ι | R | S | S | S | S | S | S | E21 |
| R | R | R | R | R | S | Ι | S | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | E18 |
| R | R | R | R | R | S | S | Ι | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | E18 |
| R | R | R | R | R | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | E18 |
| R | R | R | R | S | Ι | Ι | R | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | E20 |
| R | R | R | R | S | Ι | Ι | Ι | R | S | S | S | S | S | S | E21 |
| R | R | R | S | S | S | Ι | R | R | S | S | S | S | S | S | E23 |
| R | R | R | R | R | S | Ι | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | E18 |
| R | R | R | R | R | S | Ι | Ι | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | E18 |
| R | R | R | R | R | S | Ι | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | E18 |
| R | R | R | R | S | S | R | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | E19 |
| R | R | R | R | S | S | R | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | E19 |
| R | R | R | R | S | S | R | Ι | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | E19 |
| R | R | R | S | S | R | Ι | R | S | S | Ι | S | R | S | Ι | E26 |
| R | R | R | R | R | S | Ι | S | Ι | Ι | S | S | S | R | S | E27 |
| R | R | R | R | R | S | R | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | E25 |
| Ι | R | R | R | R | S | R | S | R | S | S | S | S | S | S | E28 |
| R | R | R | R | R | S | R | Ι | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | E25 |
| R | R | R | R | R | S | Ι | R | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | E24 |
| R | R | R | R | R | S | Ι | Ι | R | S | S | S | S | S | S | E29 |
| R | R | R | R | R | S | Ι | R | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | E24 |
| R | R | R | R | R | S | Ι | R | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | E24 |
| R | R | R | R | R | S | Ι | R | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | E24 |
| R | R | R | R | R | S | Ι | R | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | E24 |
| R | R | R | R | R | S | Ι | R | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | E24 |
| R | R | R | R | R | S | Ι | R | R | S | S | S | S | S | S | E30 |
| R | R | R | R | R | S | Ι | R | R | S | S | S | S | S | S | E30 |
| R | R | R | R | R | S | R | R | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | E31 |
| R | R | R | S | S | R | Ι | R | S | S | R | S | R | S | R | E32 |

包含3菌株,占总菌数的3.45%;E32对9种抗生 素耐药, 包含1菌株, 占总菌数的1.60%。87株 菌 MARI 指数为 0.423, 抗 4~6 种抗生素的菌株居 多,占到总菌数的 64.36%。

2.3 杀鱼爱德华菌毒力

杀鱼爱德华菌中对斑马鱼致死率大于 90%有 20株菌,占总杀鱼爱德华菌株数的22.99%,对斑 马鱼致死率在 80%~90%的有 17 株菌, 占 19.54%, 对斑马鱼致死率小于 30%有 11 株菌, 占 12.64% (表 4)。

2.4 杀鱼爱德华菌毒力与耐药相关性分析

通过 Spearman 相关性分析,可知斑马鱼死亡

率与庆大霉素耐药性呈正相关(P<0.05),相关系 数 0.255、与恩诺沙星、氟苯尼考(P<0.05)和土霉 素(P<0.01)耐药性呈负相关,相关系数分别为 0.237、0.245、0.297(表 5)。

表 4 87 株杀鱼爱德华菌对斑马鱼致死率 Tab. 4 Mortality of Danio rerio to 87 Edwardsiella piscicida strains

| 死亡率区间 | | 死亡 | 率/% moi | rtality | |
|------------------------------|-------|-------|---------|---------|-----|
| the range of mortality | ≥90 | 90-80 | 80–30 | 30–10 | ≤10 |
| 菌株数 the number of strains | 20 | 17 | 39 | 5 | 6 |
| 占比/% percentage | 22.99 | 19.54 | 44.82 | 5.74 | 6.9 |

| Tab. 5 | Analysis of the relat | ionship between virulen | ice and antibiotics | resistance of <i>Edwards</i> | siella piscicida |
|----------------------|--|---|----------------------|---|---|
| 抗生素种类 antibiotics | 耐药与毒力 Spea correlation of viru resistance with S | arman 相关性分析 llence and antibiotic Spearman analysis | 抗生素种类 antibiotics | 耐药与毒力 Spe correlation of viru resistance with | arman 相关性分析 ilence and antibiotic Spearman analysis |
| _ | 系数 coefficient | 显著性 significance | | 系数 coefficient | 显著性 significance |
| 利福平 RFP | 0.11 | 0.312 | 阿莫西林 AMO | -0.25 | 0.815 |
| 红霉素 E | 0.071 | 0.515 | 庆大霉素 GM | 0.255^{*} | 0.017 |
| 麦迪霉素 MD | 0.009 | 0.936 | 多西环素 DO | 0.153 | 0.156 |
| 青霉素 P | -0.66 | 0.546 | 氟苯尼考 FFC | -0.237^{*} | 0.027 |
| 磺胺异恶唑 FS | 0.128 | 0.238 | 恩诺沙星 ENR | -0.245^{*} | 0.022 |
| 复方新诺明 SXT | 0.126 | 0.243 | 氯霉素 C | -0.081 | 0.457 |
| 呋喃唑酮 FUR | -0.198 | 0.08 | 诺氟沙星 NOR | 0.098 | 0.369 |
| 新霉素 N | 0.076 | 0.486 | 土霉素 OT | -0.297^{**} | 0.005 |

表 5 杀鱼爱德华菌毒力与耐药相关性分析

注:*P<0.05, **P<0.01.

Note: *P<0.05, **P<0.01.

3 讨论

3.1 杀鱼爱德华菌耐药性分析

爱德华菌属起初分为迟缓爱德华菌, 鲶爱德 华菌(E. ictaluri)和保科爱德华菌(E. hoshinae) 3个 种,后有学者发现迟缓爱德华菌又可再分为迟缓 爱德华菌、杀鱼爱德华菌和鳗鲡爱德华菌(E. anguillarum)^[28-29]。近些年来,杀鱼爱德华菌因从 迟缓爱德华菌中分出而成为一种新的鱼类病原菌, 可引起鱼体的败血症^[30]、表皮腐烂瘀斑、深部皮 肤溃疡暴露、骨头坏死^[4]以及多个器官出现肉芽 肿病变[5-6]等病症。造成上述症状感染和产生致病 性主要是由毒力基因和毒力调节系统造成的^[31],

包括溶血素^[32]、软骨素酶、三型分泌系统^[33]、六 型分泌系统等。而本研究分离出杀鱼爱德华菌的 花鲈鱼体不完全呈现上述典型的病理症状,可能 是该病原所致的不同临床症状与宿主或菌株间毒 力基因(系统)存在差异有关。

在水产养殖中, 细菌对抗生素的耐药性主要 来自抗生素的选择性压力^[34],药物的频繁和过量 使用,会导致细菌出现自适应突变,即出现多重 耐药甚至"超级细菌"^[35]。以前研究发现, 酰胺醇 类抗生素^[36]、四环素类^[37-38]和喹诺酮类^[39-40]抗生 素在中国海域检出率较高。而本实验结果显示, 杀鱼爱德华菌对多西环素、氟苯尼考、恩诺沙星、 氯霉素、诺氟沙星和土霉素的耐药性较低。显然

从鱼体中分离的菌株部分耐药性与水体的抗生素 检测结果不同,可能与近年来相关部门提倡科学 用药和禁止养殖中使用氯霉素、诺氟沙星和土霉 素等抗生素在降低药物耐药率方面发挥一定作用, 在杀鱼爱德华菌上得到了体现。本研究中耐药谱 显示杀鱼爱德华菌对红霉素、麦迪霉素和利福平 有较高的耐药性。而 Xie 等^[41]和 Xu 等^[42]报道红 霉素和麦迪霉素在珠三角水域和养殖区域的检出 率较高,同样 Ma 等^[43]发现环境中普遍存在利福 平耐药,即从鱼体中分离的菌株耐药性与水体的 抗生素检测结果相同,与之前结果矛盾,推测与 杀鱼爱德华菌对大环内酯类和利福霉素类抗生素 耐药性的持续保持有关,有待后续进一步研究。

多重抗生素耐药(MARI)是分析指定菌群耐药性的极佳工具,当菌株暴露于常用抗菌药物, MARI>0.2,而少用或不用抗菌药物时,MARI< 0.2^[27]。多重抗生素耐药结果显示,杀鱼爱德华菌 MARI 指数为 0.423,说明该菌在珠海养殖区处于抗生素高危暴露状态,由于本实验暂未对其耐药机制进行研究,故珠海花鲈源杀鱼爱德华菌高 MARI 指数形成原因暂不明确。

3.2 杀鱼爱德华菌毒力与耐药相关性分析

斑马鱼(Danio rerio)是一种研究病原体感染 和宿主免疫反应的模式动物^[44],已建立了斑马鱼 的气单胞菌^[45]、链球菌^[46]、沙门氏菌^[47]和爱德华 菌感染模型^[48]。本研究揭示, 分离的 87 株杀鱼爱 德华菌菌株对斑马鱼毒力存在一定的差异, 证实 杀鱼爱德华菌含有不同毒力类型的菌株^[49]。细菌 毒力因子主要存在于染色体基因簇中,或存在于 质粒和噬菌体等遗传辅助元件中,其扩散同细菌 耐药基因的扩散存在相关的机制。有学者发现毒 力与耐药基因在一定程度上可以相互传播和转 化[50-51]。并且在编码细菌素[52]、铁载体[53]、细胞 毒素^[54]和黏附因子^[55]的基因中都存在抗生素抗 性。研究发现 ESP_{fm}(肠球菌表面蛋白)与氨苄耐药 呈正相关^[56];细菌生物膜与耐药性呈正相关^[57]; 细胞膜蛋白失活导致毒力与耐药均下降^[58]。所以 研究表明细菌抗生素耐药和毒力存在遗传连锁和 表达共轭。这与本实验发现的杀鱼爱德华菌毒力 与庆大霉素抗性呈正相关(P<0.05)的结果相似。

当然, 也存在相反的表型, 如耐青霉素的肺炎链 球菌菌株致病性可能低于敏感菌株^[59];多重耐 药导致毒力下降^[60];对利福平耐药导致毒力下 降[61]; 从氟喹诺酮耐药菌株中发现毒力基因表达 下降[62-63]。对此,许多学者提出了"生物适应性成 本"的概念,即抗性突变引起细菌的适合度下降^[64], 所以当可移动元件接合到细菌中,可能发生异常 的细菌基因调控即生物适应性成本增加。当病原 菌抗生素耐药性增加时,由于新的遗传决定因子 可能会使病原菌产生生物适应性成本,这可能会 导致细菌适应度下降,从而使细菌毒性降低。所 以,当病原菌抗生素耐药和毒力之间由于生物适 应性成本的增加出现负相关情况,并且大多数情 况表明主要的相关性为负相关[65-67],这与本研究 中杀鱼爱德华菌毒力与恩诺沙星、氟苯尼考(P< 0.05)和土霉素抗性(P<0.01)呈负相关的结果一 致。但长远来看,细菌由于获得性耐药导致调控 异常(毒力减弱)会慢慢通过细菌的补偿突变进行 回复,甚至在某些个别的情况中会出现不会改 变细菌适应度的突变[68-69]。虽然目前在研究中分 析大部分抗生素与毒力呈现负相关,但由于细 菌的补偿突变, 终究会形成高毒力, 高耐药的菌 株^[70-72]。本研究第一次在杀鱼爱德华菌上提出毒 力与耐药之间的相关性概念,并发现杀鱼爱德华 菌耐药与毒力之间大多呈现负相关, 对杀鱼爱德 华菌耐药和毒力相关性的分子机制尚有待进一步 深入研究。

参考文献:

- [1] Wen H S, Zhang M Z, Li J F, et al. Research progress of aquaculture industry and its seed engineering in spotted sea bass (*Lateolabrax maculatus*) of China[J]. Fishery Information & Strategy, 2016, 31(2): 105-111. [温海深,张美昭,李 吉方,等. 我国花鲈养殖产业现状与种子工程研究进展[J]. 渔业信息与战略, 2016, 31(2): 105-111.]
- [2] Camus A, Dill J, McDermott A, et al. Edwardsiella piscicida-associated septicaemia in a blotched fantail stingray Taeniura meyeni (Müeller & Henle)[J]. Journal of Fish Diseases, 2016, 39(9): 1125-1131.
- [3] Shafiei S, Viljamaa-Dirks S, Sundell K, et al. Recovery of *Edwardsiella piscicida* from farmed whitefish, *Coregonus lavaretus* (L.), in Finland[J]. Aquaculture, 2016, 454: 19-26.

- [4] Reichley S R, Waldbieser G C, Tekedar H C, et al. Complete genome sequence of *Edwardsiella piscicida* isolate S11-285 recovered from channel catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*) in Mississippi, USA[J]. Genome Announcements, 2016, 4(6): e01259-16.
- [5] Oguro K, Tamura K, Yamane J, et al. Draft genome sequences of two genetic variant strains of *Edwardsiella piscicida*, JF1305 and RSB1309, isolated from olive flounder (*Paralichythys olivaceus*) and Red Sea Bream (*Pagrus major*) cultured in Japan, respectively[J]. Genome Announcements, 2014, 2(3): e00546-14.
- [6] Fogelson S B, Petty B D, Reichley S R, et al. Histologic and molecular characterization of *Edwardsiella piscicida* infection in largemouth bass (*Micropterus salmoides*)[J]. Journal of Veterinary Diagnostic Investigation, 2016, 28(3): 338-344.
- [7] Buján N, Mohammed H, Balboa S, et al. Genetic studies to re-affiliate *Edwardsiella tarda* fish isolates to *Edwardsiella piscicida* and *Edwardsiella anguillarum* species[J]. Systematic and Applied Microbiology, 2018, 41(1): 30-37.
- [8] Griffin M J, Ware C, Quiniou S M, et al. Edwardsiella piscicida identified in the southeastern USA by gyrB sequence, species-specific and repetitive sequence-mediated PCR[J]. Diseases of Aquatic Organisms, 2014, 108(1): 23-35.
- [9] Griffin M J, Petty B D, Ware C, et al. Recovery and confirmation of *Edwardsiella piscicida* from a black crappie *Pomoxis nigromaculatus* (Lesueur, 1829)[J]. Journal of Fish Diseases, 2019, 42(10): 1457-1461.
- [10] Yamamoto S, Harayama S. PCR amplification and direct sequencing of gyrB genes with universal primers and their application to the detection and taxonomic analysis of *Pseu*domonas putida strains[J]. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 1995, 61(10): 3768.
- [11] Soler L. Phylogenetic analysis of the genus Aeromonas based on two housekeeping genes[J]. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, 2004, 54(5): 1511-1519.
- [12] Wu J J, Su Y L, Deng Y Q, et al. Spatial and temporal variation of antibiotic resistance in marine fish cage-culture area of Guangdong, China[J]. Environmental Pollution, 2019, 246: 463-471.
- [13] Martínez J L, Baquero F. Interactions among strategies associated with bacterial infection: Pathogenicity, epidemicity, and antibiotic resistance[J]. Clinical Microbiology Reviews, 2002,15(4): 647-679.
- [14] Groisman E A, Ochman H. Pathogenicity islands: Bacterial evolution in quantum leaps[J]. Cell, 1996, 87(5): 791-794.
- [15] Reid C J, McKinnon J, Djordjevic S P. Clonal ST131-H22

Escherichia coli strains from a healthy pig and a human urinary tract infection carry highly similar resistance and virulence plasmids[J]. Microbial Genomics, 2019, 5(9): 000295.

- [16] Villa L, Carattoli A. Integrons and transposons on the Salmonella enterica serovar typhimurium virulence plasmid[J]. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, 2005, 49(3): 1194-1197.
- [17] Yu J E, Cho M Y, Kim J W, et al. Large antibiotic-resistance plasmid of *Edwardsiella tarda* contributes to virulence in fish[J]. Microbial Pathogenesis, 2012, 52(5): 259-266.
- [18] Moran R A, Holt K E, Hall R M. pCERC3 from a commensal ST95 *Escherichia coli*: A ColV virulence-multiresistance plasmid carrying a *sul3*-associated class 1 integron[J]. Plasmid, 2016, 84-85: 11-19.
- [19] Zgurskaya H I, Nikaido H. Multidrug resistance mechanisms: Drug efflux across two membranes[J]. Molecular Microbiology, 2000, 37(2): 219-225.
- [20] Rathnayake I U, Hargreaves M, Huygens F. Antibiotic resistance and virulence traits in clinical and environmental *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Enterococcus faecium* isolates[J]. Systematic and Applied Microbiology, 2012, 35(5): 326-333.
- [21] Ghorbel D, Hadrich I, Neji S, et al. Detection of virulence factors and antifungal susceptibility of human and avian Aspergillus flavus isolates[J]. Journal De Mycologie Médicale, 2019, 29(4): 292-302.
- [22] Azzam M I, Ezzat S M, Othman B A, et al. Antibiotics resistance phenomenon and virulence ability in bacteria from water environment[J]. Water Science, 2017, 31(2): 109-121.
- [23] Vila J, Simon K, Ruiz J, et al. Are quinolone-resistant uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* less virulent?[J]. The Journal of Infectious Diseases, 2002, 186(7): 1039-1042.
- [24] Wang B B, Li B, Liang Y, et al. Pleiotropic effects of temperature-regulated 2-OH-lauroytransferase (PA0011) on *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* antibiotic resistance, virulence and type III secretion system[J]. Microbial Pathogenesis, 2016, 91: 5-17.
- [25] Fish Disease Research Office of Hubei Institute of Hydrobiology. Fish Disease Survey Manual[M]. Second Edition. Shanghai: Shanghai Scientific & Technical Publishers, 1961.
 [湖北省水生生物研究所鱼病研究室.鱼病调查手册[M].
 第2版.上海:上海科学技术出版社, 1961.]
- [26] CLSI. Performance standards for antimicrobial disk susceptibility Testing, approved standard, M100-S22[S]. 11th Edition, CLSI document M02-A11. Wayne: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 2012: 1-53.
- [27] Titilawo Y, Sibanda T, Obi L, et al. Multiple antibiotic resistance indexing of *Escherichia coli* to identify high-risk

sources of faecal contamination of water[J]. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 2015, 22(14): 10969-10980.

- [28] Abayneh T, Colquhoun D J, Sørum H. Multi-locus sequence analysis (MLSA) of *Edwardsiella tarda* isolates from fish[J]. Veterinary Microbiology, 2012, 158(3-4): 367-375.
- [29] Griffin M J, Quiniou S M, Cody T, et al. Comparative analysis of *Edwardsiella* isolates from fish in the eastern United States identifies two distinct genetic taxa amongst organisms phenotypically classified as *E. tarda*[J]. Veterinary Microbiology, 2013, 165(3-4): 358-372.
- [30] Esteve C, Alcaide E. Seasonal recovery of *Edwardsiella* piscicida from wild European eels and natural waters: Isolation methods, virulence and reservoirs[J]. Journal of Fish Diseases, 2018, 41(11): 1613-1623.
- [31] Liu Y, Oshima S I, Kurohara K, et al. Vaccine efficacy of recombinant GAPDH of *Edwardsiella tarda* against edwardsiellosis[J]. Microbiology and Immunology, 2005, 49(7): 605-612.
- [32] Hirono I, Tange N, Aoki T. Iron-regulated haemolysin gene from *Edwardsiella tarda*[J]. Molecular Microbiology, 1997, 24(4): 851-856.
- [33] Tan Y P, Zheng J, Tung S L, et al. Role of type III secretion in *Edwardsiella tarda* virulence[J]. Microbiology, 2005, 151(7): 2301-2313.
- [34] Carvalho M J, Martínez-Murcia A, Esteves A C, et al. Phylogenetic diversity, antibiotic resistance and virulence traits of *Aeromonas* spp. from untreated waters for human consumption[J]. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 2012, 159(3): 230-239.
- [35] Huo T I. The first case of multidrug-resistant NDM-1harboring *Enterobacteriaceae* in Taiwan: Here comes the superbacteria![J]. Journal of the Chinese Medical Association, 2010, 73(11): 557-558.
- [36] Yoo M H, Huh M D, Kim E H, et al. Characterization of chloramphenicol acetyltransferase gene by multiplex polymerase chain reaction in multidrug-resistant strains isolated from aquatic environments[J]. Aquaculture, 2003, 217(1-4): 11-21.
- [37] Dang H Y, Zhao J Y, Song L S, et al. Molecular characterizations of chloramphenicol- and oxytetracycline-resistant bacteria and resistance genes in mariculture waters of China[J]. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 2009, 58(7): 987-994.
- [38] Tamminen M, Karkman A, Lõhmus A, et al. Tetracycline resistance genes persist at aquaculture farms in the absence of selection pressure[J]. Environmental Science & Technology, 2011, 45(2): 386-391.
- [39] Nie X P, He X T, Yang Y T, et al. Investigation of qui-

nolones in aquaculture environment of the Pearl River Delta[J]. Environmental Science, 2009, 30(1): 266-270. [聂 湘平,何秀婷,杨永涛,等.珠江三角洲养殖水体中喹诺 酮类药物残留分析[J]. 环境科学, 2009, 30(1): 266-270.]

- [40] He X T, Deng M C, Wang Q, et al. Residues and health risk assessment of quinolones and sulfonamides in cultured fish from Pearl River Delta, China[J]. Aquaculture, 2016, 458: 38-46.
- [41] Xie H W, Hao H S, Xu N, et al. Pharmaceuticals and personal care products in water, sediments, aquatic organisms, and fish feeds in the Pearl River Delta: Occurrence, distribution, potential sources, and health risk assessment[J]. Science of the Total Environment, 2019, 659: 230-239.
- [42] Xu W H, Yan W, Li X D, et al. Antibiotics in riverine runoff of the Pearl River Delta and Pearl River Estuary, China: concentrations, mass loading and ecological risks[J]. Environmental Pollution, 2013, 182: 402-407.
- [43] Ma L P, Li B, Zhang T. Abundant rifampin resistance genes and significant correlations of antibiotic resistance genes and plasmids in various environments revealed by metagenomic analysis[J]. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, 2014, 98(11): 5195-5204.
- [44] Lin C, Lin C N, Wang Y C, et al. The role of TGF-β signaling and apoptosis in innate and adaptive immunity in zebrafish: A systems biology approach[J]. BMC Systems Biology, 2014, 8: 116.
- [45] Chandrarathna H P S U, Nikapitiya C, Dananjaya S H S, et al. Outcome of co-infection with opportunistic and multidrug resistant *Aeromonas hydrophila* and *A. veronii* in zebrafish: identification, characterization, pathogenicity and immune responses[J]. Fish & Shellfish Immunology, 2018, 80: 573-581.
- [46] Patterson H, Saralahti A, Parikka M, et al. Adult zebrafish model of bacterial meningitis in *Streptococcus agalactiae* infection[J]. Developmental & Comparative Immunology, 2012, 38(3): 447-455.
- [47] Li Y Y, Wang T, Gao S, et al. Salmonella plasmid virulence gene spvB enhances bacterial virulence by inhibiting autophagy in a zebrafish infection model[J]. Fish & Shellfish Immunology, 2016, 49: 252-259.
- [48] Liu X H, Chang X Y, Wu H Z, et al. Role of intestinal inflammation in predisposition of *Edwardsiella tarda* infection in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*)[J]. Fish & Shellfish Immunology, 2014, 41(2): 271-278.
- [49] Yin K Y, Guan Y P, Ma R Q, et al. Critical role for a promoter discriminator in *RpoS* control of virulence in *Edward-siella piscicida*[J]. PLoS Pathogens, 2018, 14(8): e1007272.

- [50] Alexander T W, Yanke J L, Reuter T, et al. Longitudinal characterization of antimicrobial resistance genes in feces shed from cattle fed different subtherapeutic antibiotics[J]. BMC Microbiology, 2011, 11(1): 19.
- [51] Barton M D. Antibiotic use in animal feed and its impact on human health[J]. Nutrition Research Reviews, 2000, 13(2): 279-299.
- [52] Chelliah R, Wei S, Park B J, et al. New perspectives on mega plasmid sequence (*poh1*) in *Bacillus thuringiensis* ATCC 10792 harbouring antimicrobial, insecticidal and antibiotic resistance genes[J]. Microbial Pathogenesis, 2019, 126: 14-18.
- [53] Zhang W L, Zhang Y, Wang X X, et al. Siderophores in clinical isolates of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* promote ciprofloxacin resistance by inhibiting the oxidative stress[J]. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, 2017, 491(3): 855-861.
- [54] Carlson S A, Meyerholz D K, Stabel T J, et al. Secretion of a putative cytotoxin in multiple antibiotic resistant *Salmonella enterica* serotype *typhimurium* phagetype DT104[J]. Microbial Pathogenesis, 2001, 31(4): 201-204.
- [55] Laporta M Z, Silva M L, Scaletsky I C, et al. Plasmids coding for drug resistance and localized adherence to HeLa cells in enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* O55:H⁻ and O55:H6[J]. Infection and Immunity, 1986, 51(2): 715-717.
- [56] Billström H, Lund B, Sullivan Å, et al. Virulence and antimicrobial resistance in clinical *Enterococcus faecium*[J]. International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents, 2008, 32(5): 374-377.
- [57] Pereira M F, Rossi C C, Seide L E, et al. Antimicrobial resistance, biofilm formation and virulence reveal *Actinobacillus pleuropneumoniae* strains' pathogenicity complexity[J]. Research in Veterinary Science, 2018, 118: 498-501.
- [58] Liang Y, Guo Z S, Gao L, et al. The role of the temperatureregulated acyltransferase (PA3242) on growth, antibiotic resistance and virulence in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*[J]. Microbial Pathogenesis, 2016, 101: 126-135.
- [59] Azoulay-Dupuis E, Rieux V, Muffat-Joly M, et al. Relationship between capsular type, penicillin susceptibility, and virulence of human *Streptococcus pneumoniae* isolates in mice[J]. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, 2000, 44(6): 1575-1577.
- [60] Lehtolainen T, Shwimmer A, Shpigel N Y, et al. In vitro antimicrobial susceptibility of *Escherichia coli* isolates from clinical bovine mastitis in Finland and Israel[J]. Journal of Dairy Science, 2003, 86(12): 3927-3932.
- [61] O'Neill A J, Huovinen T, Fishwick C W G, et al. Molecular

genetic and structural modeling studies of *Staphylococcus aureus* RNA polymerase and the fitness of rifampin resistance genotypes in relation to clinical prevalence[J]. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, 2006, 50(1): 298-309.

- [62] Schaeffer A J. Decreased invasive capacity of quinoloneresistant *Escherichia coli* in patients with urinary tract infections[J]. Journal of Urology, 2002,168(1): 393-394.
- [63] Liu M C, Wu C M, Liu Y C, et al. Identification, susceptibility, and detection of integron-gene cassettes of *Arcanobacterium pyogenes* in bovine endometritis[J]. Journal of Dairy Science, 2009, 92(8): 3659-3666.
- [64] Andersson D I. The biological cost of mutational antibiotic resistance: Any practical conclusions?[J]. Current Opinion in Microbiology, 2006, 9(5): 461-465.
- [65] Toutounji M, Tokajian S, Khalaf R A. Genotypic and phenotypic characterization of *Candida albicans* Lebanese hospital isolates resistant and sensitive to caspofungin[J]. Fungal Genetics and Biology, 2019, 127: 12-22.
- [66] Karatuna O, Yagci A. Analysis of quorum sensing-dependent virulence factor production and its relationship with antimicrobial susceptibility in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* respiratory isolates[J]. Clinical Microbiology and Infection, 2010, 16(12): 1770-1775.
- [67] Qin X H, Hu F P, Wu S, et al. Comparison of adhesin genes and antimicrobial susceptibilities between uropathogenic and intestinal commensal *Escherichia coli* strains[J]. PLoS ONE, 2013, 8(4): e61169.
- [68] Criswell D, Tobiason V L, Lodmell J S, et al. Mutations conferring aminoglycoside and spectinomycin resistance in *Borrelia burgdorferi*[J]. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, 2006, 50(2): 445-452.
- [69] Foucault M L, Depardieu F, Courvalin P, et al. Inducible expression eliminates the fitness cost of vancomycin resistance in *Enterococci*[J]. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2010, 107(39): 16964-16969.
- [70] McCallum N, Berger-Bächi B, Senn M M. Regulation of antibiotic resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*[J]. International Journal of Medical Microbiology, 2010, 300(2-3): 118-129.
- [71] Saddler C A, Wu Y, Valckenborgh F, et al. Epidemiological control of drug resistance and compensatory mutation under resistance testing and second-line therapy[J]. Epidemics, 2013, 5(4): 164-173.
- [72] Durão P, Balbontín R, Gordo I. Evolutionary mechanisms shaping the maintenance of antibiotic resistance[J]. Trends in Microbiology, 2018, 26(8): 677-691.

Analysis of the relationship between antibiotic resistance and virulence of *Edwardsiella piscicida* strains isolated from *Lateolabrax maculatus*

MAO Can^{1,2}, SU Youlu³, LI Yong⁴, ZHANG Yaqiu^{1,2}, GU Qunhong⁴, DENG Yiqin², WANG Baotun^{1,2}, CHEN Haoxiang^{1,2}, FENG Juan²

- 1. College of Fisheries and Life Sciences, Shanghai Ocean University, Shanghai 201306, China;
- Tropical Fisheries Research and Development Center, South China Sea Fisheries Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Fishery Sciences; Key Laboratory of South China Sea Fishery Resources Development and Utilization, Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs, Guangzhou 510300, China;
- 3. Innovative Institute of Animal Healthy Breeding, Zhongkai University of Agriculture and Engineering, Guangzhou 510225, China;
- 4. Modern Agricultural Development Center of Zhuhai City, Zhuhai 519000, China

Abstract: The emergence and spread of antibiotic resistant bacteria brings risks to disease prevention and control efforts. Although the spread of antibiotic resistance has been a hot topic in recent research, information on antibiotic resistance in aquaculture is still lacking. A bacterial epidemic of Lateolabrax maculatus was investigated in fish farms at Zhuhai, Guangdong province, China from April to December 2018, and a total of 96 strains of Edwardsiella spp. were obtained. Among them, 87 strains were identified as E. piscicida by the gyrB gene. These 87 E. piscicida strains were tested for resistance to 16 antibiotics and for their virulence in zebrafish using the KB method and challenge tests, respectively. The relationship of antibiotic resistance and virulence was assessed using Spearman correlation analysis. The results were as follows: (1) The percentage of E. piscicida strains resistant to each antibiotic was: Rifampin (98.85%), Madinomycin (96.55%), Erythromycin (95.40%), Penicillin (68.96%), Sulfamethoxazole (58.62%), Norepinephrine (28.73%), Amoxicillin (21.83%), Gentamicin (13.79%), Neomycin (10.34%), Furazolidone (3.45%), Norfloxacin (2.29%), Chloramphenicol (2.29%), Doxycycline (2.29%), Oxytetracycline (1.15%), Florfenicol (1.14%), and Enrofloxacin (0%). The antibiotic resistance spectrum revealed 32 total antibiotic resistant types existed in 87 strains. The Multiple Antibiotic Resistance Index (MARI) was 0.423, indicating that the strains were isolated in an environment with high exposure to antibiotics. (2) The virulence challenge tests showed that the lethality rate of 37 E. piscicida strains was > 80% in zebrafish, accounting for 42.52% of the total bacterial strains tested; the lethality rate of 39 E. piscicida strains was 30%-80% in zebrafish, accounting for 44.83% of the total bacterial strains tested; and the lethality rate of 11 E. piscicida strains to zebrafish was < 30%, accounting for 12.65% of the total bacterial strains tested. This demonstrates that *E. piscicida* is a high virulence strain. (3) Spearman correlation analysis showed that the virulence of E. piscicida was positively correlated with Gentamicin resistance (P < 0.05), and negatively correlated with Enrofloxacin, Florfenicol (P < 0.05), and Oxytetracycline resistance (P < 0.01). Bacterial virulence may be related to antibiotic resistance and this relationship can be either negative or positive. We conclude that E. piscicida strains derived from Lateolabrax maculatus are highly toxic and multidrug resistant, and their virulence is negatively correlated with antibiotic resistance. This is presumably produced by the additional biological expenditure of bacteria to the acquisition of foreign DNA. Additional studies on this interaction mechanism may provide new data for the evolution of microorganisms and new ideas for bacterial disease control.

Key words: Lateolabrax maculatus; Edwardsiella piscicida; antibiotic resistance; virulence Corresponding author: FENG Juan, E-mail: juanfeng@scsfri.ac.cn; SU Youlu, E-mail: youlusu@zhku.edu.cn